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Computer-Aided Transcription

MS. HAMMER LEVY: Good evening. Pinellas County would like to welcome you to the Public Hearing for the Project Development and Environment, or PD\&E, Study for the Dunedin Causeway Bridges in Pinellas County, Florida. The project limits are from west of Royal Stewart Arms Parkway on Honeymoon Island to the intersection of Gary Place/Gary Circle on Ward Island, a distance of approximately two miles. The proposed improvements involve replacement of the existing Main Bridge with a new two-lane, mid-level movable bridge and replacement of the existing Tide Relief Bridge with a new two-lane, low-level fixed bridge.

My name is Kelly Hammer Levy and I am the Director of Public Works for Pinellas County. Today is Wednesday, March 11, 2020, and it is approximately 6:30 p.m. We are assembled at the Hale Activity Center located at 330 Douglas Avenue in Dunedin, Florida.

At this time, we would like to recognize any federal, state, county or city officials who may be present tonight. I ask that you please stand and introduce yourself for the record.

MS. WARD BUJALSKI: Julie Ward Bujalski, Mayor of the great City of Dunedin.

MS. BRAMLEY: Good evening. Jennifer Bramley, City Manager, the great City of Dunedin. You've got a
theme here.

MR. QUINTOS: Jorge Quintos, Public Works Utilities Director, City Engineer for Dunedin, great city of.

MS. HAMMER LEVY: This Public Hearing is being held and was advertised in accordance with applicable Federal and State requirements as shown on the citation board located next to the sign-in table and is being conducted in accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990. This information is also provided in the project newsletter you received in the mail or can be found at the sign-in table. Public participation is encouraged and solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, disability or family status.

This Public Hearing is for Pinellas County Project Number $000423 A$ and Florida Department of Transportation, or FDOT, Work Program Item Segment Number 437538-1. The PD\&E Study is being conducted by Pinellas County with oversight from FDOT in compliance with all applicable federal environmental laws and pursuant to 23 United States Code sub section 327 and the implementing Memorandum of Understanding between FDOT and the Federal Highway Administration signed on December 14, 2016. The FDOT Office of Environmental

Management in Tallahassee is the approving authority.
This Public Hearing is being held to provide you with the opportunity to officially comment on the Preferred Alternative and the related project supporting documents available here tonight, including effects on the recreational areas of the Dunedin Causeway.

The project team looked at various physical, environmental and social impacts of the proposed project. The Preferred Alternative for the Main Bridge is replacement of the existing two-lane, low-level bascule bridge with a new two-lane, mid-level bascule bridge.

The proposed bridge would provide 35 feet of vertical clearance over the navigation channel at the fenders in the closed position. Unlimited vertical clearance will be provided in the open position for the width of the channel between the fenders. The horizontal clearance between the fenders will be 100 feet. The proposed bridge would include 11 -foot wide travel lanes with 8-foot shoulders that can function as undesignated bicycle lanes in each direction. A 5-foot sidewalk is proposed on the north side of the bridge and a 15-foot wide multi-use trail is proposed on the south side of the bridge.

The proposed Tide Relief Bridge would be replaced
with a low-level fixed bridge that provides 14.5 feet of minimum vertical navigation clearance and 18.0 feet at the center span. The horizontal clearance between the piers is 115 feet. The typical section of the Tide Relief Bridge would match that of the Main Bridge with 11-foot wide travel lanes and 8-foot shoulders that can function as undesignated bicycle lanes in each direction. A 5-foot sidewalk is proposed on the north side of the bridge and a 15-foot wide multi-use trail is proposed on the south side of the bridge.

The Preferred Alternative for these bridges would result in the following impacts:

Visual impacts as a result of increased bridge height; permanent use of approximately 0.22 acres of the County-owned beach area of the Dunedin Causeway; 0.11 acre of permanent wetland impact and 0.25 acre of temporary wetland impact, including 0.04 acre of seagrass impact; and nine identified sites related to environmental contamination risks with one site being rated as medium, as well as the presence of metal-based paint in the existing bridge materials.

The Preferred Alternative is expected to have minor impacts to mangroves, tidal flats and seagrasses. Although the Preferred Alternative includes temporary and permanent impacts to protected habitats, these
impacts were avoided and minimized to the greatest extent practicable. Species protection measures will also be implemented to further reduce project impacts during construction. Pinellas County will continue to coordinate with regulatory agencies to ensure that the project satisfies all mitigation requirements of Part 4 of Chapter 373, Florida Statutes, and 33 United States Code Section 1344.

Based on the findings included in the Natural Resources Evaluation and interagency coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service and Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, the Preferred Alternative will either have no effect or is not likely to adversely affect federal and state protected species.

Additionally, the Preferred Alternative is anticipated to have minimal involvement with essential fish habitat and no involvement with federally designated critical habitat.

The project will not have any permanent noise, air quality, major utility, economic or social impacts to the surrounding communities. Access to causeway recreational areas will be maintained to the extent feasible during construction. No involvement with archaeological sites and historic resources will occur
as a result of the proposed improvements.
One of the unavoidable consequences of implementing
a transportation project such as this can be the necessary acquisition of privately-owned land and the subsequent relocation of families or businesses displaced by such acquisition. We anticipate no property acquisitions and no relocations as part of this project.

When you arrived this evening, you should have received an informational package containing a handout and comment form. If you were not able to sign in or did not receive an informational package, please stop by our sign-in table before leaving this evening.

Anyone desiring to make a statement or present written views and/or exhibits regarding the location, conceptual design, social, economic or environmental effects of the proposed improvements, including effects on the recreational areas of the Dunedin Causeway, will now have an opportunity to do so.

If you have completed a speaker's card, please give them to a County staff member. If you have not received a speaker's card and wish to speak, please raise your hand so we can get you a card to complete. Written statements and exhibits may be presented in lieu of or in addition to verbal statements. All
written statements received at this section of the Public Hearing and by Pinellas County postmarked no later than Monday, March 23, 2020, will become a part of the PD\&E Study's public record.

At this time, I will call upon those who have turned in speaker's cards. When you come forward, please state your name and address clearly into the microphone for the record. If you represent an organization, municipality or other public agency, please provide that information as well.

Please keep in mind that this is not a question and answer period but a time for you to state your views and comments about the Dunedin Causeway Bridges PD\&E Study to be included in the formal Public Hearing record.

Please limit your comments to the Dunedin Causeway Bridges PD\&E Study and keep them to three minutes in order to allow everyone an opportunity to speak. If you have additional comments related to the PD\&E Study, you may continue with the court reporter after the formal session.

The first speaker is Gregory J. Kuebler.
MR. KUEBLER: I'm going to submit written comments instead of speaking.

MS. HAMMER LEVY: Oh, okay.

Jackie Nigro.
MS. NIGRO: Good evening, everyone. My name is Jackie Nigro. I have been Chair since 2014 of the Citizens Resident Ad Hoc Committee for the Causeway Bridge replacement.

We have met during the last six years and now hopefully coming to conclusion. I am a resident of Royal Stewart Arms, 9 Haig Place, Number 502, obviously on Honeymoon Island itself.

From the outstart the City of Dunedin, delightful, indeed, has been very supportive in, first of all, creating our group that the citizens had someone who could speak about this and who welcomed the citizens to their meeting, our meeting, regarding the replacement of our bridges.

Those bridges are dear to us, especially the people who live out there and the people who go there all over the weekend and everyday. It's heaven. It's a free heaven, as a matter of fact.

Anyway, there were six of us and myself on the committee, and each one of us, and I think I speak for them, several of them are here this evening, feel that the City staff, our mayor and the consultant staff and the Commission from Pinellas County have been so supportive and informative to us the whole time this
process has been going on these last six years.
And for new residents, the residents who don't really know anything about it, and I understand how that happens, you can be assured from me and from our City staff, Commission and our mayor and the folks I have spoken about from Pinellas County, you will be well served in this bridge that goes up.

Thank you.
MS. HAMMER LEVY: Julie Ward Bujalski.
MS. WARD BUJALSKI: Julie Ward Bujalski, 1856
LaGrand Drive, Dunedin.
I am the mayor of the great City of Dunedin. I thank you all for being here today. I'm just going to follow-up Jackie.

First, I want to say, Jackie, thank you so much for the six years -- actually, I think it's almost been seven, because we started talking about this in 2013 -for heading up our Citizen Ad Hoc Committee and leading folks -- some of the folks that are here today to be the voice for our residents.

While the City of Dunedin is not building this bridge, the Pinellas County is, they have been so wonderful to work with us and to listen to our concerns.

Mainly, A, we didn't want a high bridge like Bellaire Causeway, a big bridge to go to nowhere; we
didn't want our recreation to go away and be sucked up by a large bridge; we didn't want to affect our views; we didn't want to negatively impact the environment.

And so I think everyone as a part of this process has really looked at those things and tried to come up with the best solution possible and something that will last us another 70 years once it's built, and that was really important to us.

It's a jewel in our city, the Dunedin Causeway. I've been going there since I moved here when $I$ was five, so all my life. So it's really important to us.

I want to thank the City Commission who also unanimously supported the recommendation of the mid-level bridge, and we have our fingers crossed to be able to work with the County, the state and the feds to get our funding.

So many people worked hard on this. As Jackie mentioned, it's been a six, seven-year process, and this is the final piece, and so we wish everyone good luck in lobbying and fighting for the money and let us know what we can do to help.

MS. HAMMER LEVY: David Ballard Geddis.
MR. BALLARD GEDDIS: My name is David Ballard
Geddis. I'm a long-term resident of Dunedin and grew up here.

I feel as though that the Tidal Bridge here is inadequate and I think what we need to do is expand this to allow a proper tidal flow to get to the estuary that's behind Caladesi Island, which is in a state of decay. The Causeway itself actually prohibits that with tidal flow from getting to the ecology that requires that flushing.

What I also think we need to do is take some of this sand here and start building a finger off of the Causeway northward. There's a lot of sand that's in-filled on the inside of Hurricane Pass here that we could also dredge to start building a finger northward.

As the population is growing, we all know that the Causeway is very busy on the weekend and summertime and I know that we're going to have some dredging equipment in the area.

Gus Bilirakis is hoping to get the Anclote River dredged in the near future. Maybe we can get that equipment down here and we could start developing the Causeway and make a finger run out maybe a mile or so to handle the weekend jet skis or recreation for people that want to come to enjoy the Causeway on the weekends and alleviate some of this inadequacy for the tidal flow. We do have an ecological problem in the back of Caladesi Island so I thought I'd throw that in.

I think this is a half measure in light of what we could make this.

Thank you.
MS. HAMMER LEVY: Kim Begay.
MS. BEGAY: Hi. My name is Kim Begay and I'm the conservation advocate and vice-president of Clearwater Audubon Society here in our beautiful north county area, and I was just a little bit concerned about the placement of the turn in for the mid-level proposed bridge on the very northeast end.

I realize that there are limitations as far as where you can put the turn in in, but I think the farther west you can go away from the mangrove area, if you could extend it down a little farther, I don't know if that's possible, just a little bit farther away from the mangrove area, which is also a salt marsh, because the shore line there, I think, has been establish from Anclote. With the coastal island sanctuaries of the Audubon Florida Organization, is an established foraging ground for the rarest wading bird in North America, which is the Reddish Egret.

Right now we have a two-year-old sub adult male who is foraging on that shore line every single day. And the reason he is there now is because the resident female who normally forages is now nesting on marker 26
island so she's not around as often and he's, like, wow, she's gone so I'm coming over here now.

And the problem with Reddish Egrets is they're very specific as to where they forage. They only forage in one habitat, which is the coastal tidal habitat, and they just love it down there.

And I've been going there everyday except until just recently when $I$ had to have a big surgery, but I -and Kelly Levy knows that I go down there almost everyday and I have been monitoring those birds, the Reddish Egret, for Audubon Florida and for some of the regional FWC biologists when there was a proposed use down there that would adversely affect the Reddish Egret.

But anyway, we also have many other species down there, like, Little Blue Herrings, which are a threatened species, and these birds are part of the FWC Imperiled Species Management Plan -- 60 seconds less -- anyway, I could go on and on about the birds, but $I$ just want to make sure that if we could get the turn in as far away as possible from that mangrove area. We have so many important species. We recently had a Solitary Sandpiper down there. We have Red Knots, critically endangered species. They utilize that little-used public area, you know, for their needs when they're migrating and for their critical established foraging habitat, which is
protected by federal law.
And I know the County's going to do everything possible, but $I$ just would invite everybody who sees those Reddish Egrets down there everyday to please speak up for them, and I know the planning will probably take into account that very threatened species, but I just want to make sure that there's a record that they're down there everyday, and I have plenty of data literally daily for over two and a half years detailing that.

Thank you so much and let's protect our birds. Don't forget about them.

MS. HAMMER LEVY: Thank you.
Does anyone else wish to make a statement?
John Torriga.
MR. TORRIGA: I was not intending on speaking tonight and I came here to listen to a presentation, but given the opportunity I guess I'll just make a couple of comments.

I've been very much aware of this bridge over a period of time. My children were raised on that area of property called -- well, we all refer to it with many different names. And, obviously, I've been very much involved in the coastal City of Dunedin. I was involved as the chair of the Waterfront Task Force and at that point in time that's when we said, hey, we need to get
somebody and take a look at this to make sure we all understand what the options are for this bridge.

And I just wanted to bring out a couple of things and perhaps could be presented to us if it's not been covered.

One of the comments that $I$ had about the higher bridge, my preference, as people would expect, would be the lower bridge, of course, because that's what I'm used to, but $I$ also discussed this previously on the topic of sustainability and safety. And with the high bridge, the safety, I believe, is significantly higher from the standpoint of ingress and egress.

Now, there are times when it's not. If you have a 140-mile an hour wind, none of the bridges are going to be safe, shall we say.

But if an occurrence happens on the other side of the bridge, and there's nothing more than what a municipality is responsible for is safety and security.

And so if you've got to get from one side to the other and you have a bridge that is not working, for whatever reason, if it's movable it's possible it will not be working, and you can look at the statistics and our bridge has been down frequently.

It's a tremendous cost difference between the higher bridge and lower bridge. The higher bridge, as we
all know, is mush less expensive. From the maintenance and operational standpoint, it's also a lot less expensive.

So there's two things that $I$ just wanted to talk about. Sustainability. When people -- I've watch this over the years -- when a bridge cannot operate, people leave their cars running and, boom, what do you have? You have pollution going on.

When the boats circulate down below, the same thing occurs. We have seagrass down there and they're waiting to go under the bridge.

I've been a boater. And when you have the higher bridge it's a lot easier to see what's happening as you approach it.

Also, if you're a sailboater I understand a 36-foot boat has a 36 -foot mast and it would not be able to get underneath that bridge, and again the bridge would have to go up.

So I just wanted to bring those points full awareness, that's all. And if we end up going with the higher bridge or we have to go with a higher bridge, it would work, it would work. I'm comfortable about that, but I just want to make everybody aware of that.

Thank you.
MS. HAMMER LEVY: Tom Unverferth.

MR. UNVERFERTH: Hi. I'm Tom Unverferth. I live at 7 Elgin Place in Dunedin right off the causeway at Royal Stewart Arms.

The concern as a resident, and we have many that are here this evening, is traffic going in and out of the park. In the -- in both the typical section that you're displaying over here, the width of the vehicular traffic has not been increased. It's still 11 feet. So it appears that what we're doing is we're making more accessibility for walkers and bicyclists in both scenarios.

So has there been given any thought by the city to eliminate the traffic congestion -- someone mentioned on the weekends when it's nice everybody's trying to get to the park -- to increase the lanes, entry lanes, into the park make two of them employ Suncoast or SunPass to allow the cars -- people that have SunPass to go through the entranceway of the park, and then have two lanes for those people that need change or want to use credit cards. That would eliminate -- if you can get the people in the park, it would eliminate those back-ups all the way up to Belcher Road during 4th of July, birthdays and the others and we wouldn't have to -- because we're not increasing vehicular traffic lanes. So that's my comments.

And the other is that -- someone said there will be no impact to the environment. That's got to be wrong. Anytime you disturb by building, there's going to be some disturbance.

And the last point is the funding. If this project is four years and you propose $\$ 77$ million plus $\$ 9.7$ million, that inflated value in four years is going to be substantially higher than that.

So those are the concerns. Thank you.
MS. HAMMER LEVY: Is there anyone else who wishes to make a statement? We have a hand back here.

MS. CHARPENTIER: Okay. My name is Barbara Charpentier and I live in Med Manors. And I love Honeymoon Island and I'm concerned about the bridge. I'm concerned about all of the traffic and the construction of a temporary bridge. I'm concerned about the noise.

And it seemed to me like you said there's not going to be a noise factor to anybody who lives around there. Four years of banging and drilling and blah, blah, blah is going to be a lot of noise for anybody that lives around that area for upteen years. I think that's a pretty false statement.

There's going to be a lot of traffic that is going to be disturbed. It's going to be longer lines. I'm
just not for this.
MR. YANER: Hello. I'm Terri Yaner and I've lived here on Gary Circle and I've lived near the Dunedin Causeway for 20 years.

And as far as I see it, I'm thinking what is the purpose of this bridge. Our problem is the traffic. Traffic is horrendous. I've watched it change for the past 20 years.

Another thing, the gentleman who brought up Royal Stewart Arms, getting in and out of Royal Stewart Arms is a nightmare and it's very dangerous.

We have a big problem at Bayshore and Curlew Causeway with traffic and accidents, and $I$ just don't see where we're focusing on the real problems that we have, but maybe somebody could explain that to me.

Thank you.
MS. HAMMER LEVY: Does anyone else wish to make a statement?

MR. POWELL: My name's Allan Powell. I live at Royal Stewart Arms, Royal Cove, Number 8.

I wanted to back up the comment that was made about the traffic going into the park. I'm really sitting here thinking about the amount of time and energy that has been put into these studies with totally ignoring that issue. I find it hard to believe
that that hasn't been brought up.
On busy days we have several hundred cars idling their engines for up to an hour or more trying to get into that park. Talk about pollution.

I think somehow we need to get those lanes improved as it was offered here and do some common sense things.

Spending this kind of money and ending up with the same congestion and traffic problems $I$ think is kind of nuts. We need to look at that area.

Thank you.
MS. HAMMER LEVY: Does anyone else wish to make a statement?

The Public Hearing transcript, written statements, exhibits and reference materials will be available for public inspection at Pinellas County Public Works, 22211 US Highway 19 North, Building 01, Clearwater, Florida, 33765, within three weeks.

It is approximately 7:00 p.m. I hereby officially close the formal portion of the Public Hearing for the Dunedin Causeway Bridges PD\&E Study. You may continue to view the materials on display and speak with our project staff.

On behalf of Pinellas County, thank you for attending. Good night.
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| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{3}_{[2]}-2: 4,2: 8 \\ & \mathbf{3 s t}_{[1]}-23: 12 \\ & \mathbf{3 2 7}_{[1]}-4: 22 \\ & \mathbf{3 3}_{[1]}-7: 7 \\ & \mathbf{3 3 0}_{[2]}-1: 15,3: 17 \\ & \mathbf{3 3 7 6 5}_{[1]}-22: 18 \\ & \mathbf{3 5}_{[1]}-5: 13 \\ & \mathbf{3 6} \text {-foot }[2]-18: 15,18: 16 \\ & \mathbf{3 7 3}_{[1]}-7: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  | Barbara [2]-2:12, 20:12 <br> bascule [2]-5:11 <br> based [2]-6:20, 7:9 <br> Bayshore ${ }_{[1]}$ - 21:12 <br> beach [1]-6:15 <br> beautiful [1]-14:7 <br> become [1] - 9:3 <br> Begay [2] - 14:4, 14:5 <br> BEGAY [1] - 14:5 <br> Begay.. $\qquad$ [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { built }[1]-12: 7 \\ & \text { BUJALSKI }{ }_{[2]}-3: 22,11: 10 \\ & \text { Bujalski }[3]-3: 22,11: 9, \\ & \text { 11:10 } \\ & \text { Bujalski.............. [1] - } 2: 7 \\ & \text { businesses }[1]-8: 5 \\ & \text { busy }[2]-13: 14,22: 2 \\ & \text { BY }_{[1]}-1: 22 \end{aligned}$ |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { federal }[4]-3: 19,4: 21,7: 15, \\ & 16: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { gentleman [1] }-21: 9$ $\mathbf{G G}_{[1]}-23: 19$ |  | John [2]-2:10, 16:14 <br> Johnson [1]-23:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Federal [2] - 4:7, 4:24 federally [1]-7:18 feds [1] - 12:15 feet \([6]-5: 13,5: 18,6: 1,6: 2\), 6:4, 19:8 female [1] - 14:25 fenders \([3]-5: 15,5: 17,5: 18\) fighting [1] - 12:20 filled [1] - 13:11 final \([1]\) - 12:19``` | given [2]-16:17, 19:12 <br> great $[4]-3: 23,3: 25,4: 3$, <br> 11:12 <br> greatest [1] - 7:1 <br> Gregory [1]-9:22 <br> grew [1]-12:24 | ```ID [1] - 23:19 identified \([1]\) - 6:18 idling [1]-22:2 ignoring [1] - 21:25 impact \([5]\) - 6:16, 6:17, 6:18, 12:3, 20:2 impacts [8] - 5:8, 6:12, 6:13, 6:23, 6:25, 7:1, 7:3, 7:21 Imperiled [1] - 15:16 implemented [1] - 7:3 implementing [2] - 4:23, 8:2 important [3]-12:8, 12:11, 15:21 improved [1] - 22:6``` | ```JOHNSON[3] - 1:22, 23:5, 23:17 Jorge [1] - 4:2 Julie [4]-2:7, 3:22, 11:9, 11:10 July [1] - 19:22``` |
|  | [ ${ }_{[1]}-10: 12$ |  | K |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guess }_{[1]}-16: 17 \\ & \text { Gus }_{[1]}-13: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | keep [2]-9:11, 9:17 <br> Kelly ${ }_{[2]}-3: 13,15: 9$ <br> $\operatorname{Kim}[3]-2: 9,14: 4,14: 5$ |
| fingers [1] - 12:14 | H |  | Kots [1] - 15:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fish }[2]-7: 11,7: 12 \\ & \text { fish }[1]-7: 18 \\ & \text { Fisheries }[1]-7: 12 \end{aligned}$ | ```habitat [5]-7:18, 7:19, 15:5, 15:25 habitats [1] - 6:25 Haig [1] - 10:8 Hale [2] - 1:15, 3:16 half [2] - 14:1, 16:9 HAMMER [11] - 3:1, 4:5, 9:25, 11:9, 12:22, 14:4, 16:12, 18:25, 20:10, 21:17, 22:12``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { improvements }[3]-3: 9,8: 1 \text {, } \\ & 8: 17 \end{aligned}$ | knows [1] - 15:9 <br> Kuebler [1]-9:22 <br> KUEBLER [1] - 9:23 |
| five [1] - 12:10 <br> fixed [2] $-3: 12,6$ : |  | inadequate [1] - 13:2 | L |
| ```flats [1] - 6:23 Florida [12] - 1:16, 1:23, 3:5, 3:17, 4:17, 7:7, 7:12, 14:19, 15:11, 22:18, 23:7, 23:13``` |  | include [1] - 5:19 <br> included [2]-7:9, 9:14 <br> includes [1] - 6:24 <br> including $[3]-5: 5,6: 17,8: 17$ <br> increase [1]-19:15 | ```LaGrand [1]-11:11 land [1] - 8:4 lane [4]-3:10, 3:12, 5:10, 5:11 lanes [9]-5:19, 5:21, 6:6,``` |
| FLORIDA ${ }_{[1]}$ - 23:1 <br> flow [3]-13:3, 13:6, 13:23 | Hammer [1] - 3:13 <br> hand $[3]-8: 23,20: 11,23: 12$ | increased [2] - 6:13, 19:8 <br> increasing [1] - 19:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:7, 19:15, 19:18, 19:24, } \\ & 22: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| flushing ${ }_{[1]}-13: 7$ | handle [1]-13:21 | indeed [1]-10:11 | large [2]-12:2, 23:7 |
| focusing ${ }_{[1]}-21: 14$ | $\text { hard }[2]-12: 17,21: 25$ | inflated [1]-20:7 <br> information [2] - 4:10, 9:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { last }[4]-10: 6,11: 1,12: 7, \\ & 20: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| follow [1] - 11:14 | heading ${ }_{[1]}-11: 18$ | informational [2] - 8:10, 8:12 | law [1] - 16:1 |
| follow-up [1] - 11:14 <br> following [1] - 6:12 | $5: 2,9: 2,9: 14,22: 14,22: 20$ | informative [1] - 10:25 ingress [1] - 17:12 | laws [1] - 4:21 <br> leading [1] - 11:18 |
| forage ${ }_{[2]}$ - 15:4 | $\text { height } 11] \text { - 6:14 }$ | inside [1] - 13:11 | leave [1] - 18:7 |
| forages [1]-14:25 | $\text { held }[2]-4: 6,5: 2$ | inspection [1] - 22:16 instead [1] - 9:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leaving }{ }_{[1]}-8: 13 \\ & \text { less }[3]-15: 17,18: 1,18: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $15: 25$ | hello [1]-21:2 <br> help [1] - 12:21 | intending ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:15 | level $[7]$ - 3:10, 3:12, 5:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Force }[1]-16: 24 \\ & \text { foregoing }[1]-23: 8 \\ & \text { forget }[1]-16: 11 \\ & \text { form }[1]-8: 11 \\ & \text { Formal }_{[1]}-2: 4 \\ & \text { formal }[3]-9: 14,9: 21,22: 20 \end{aligned}$ | hereby [2] - 22:19, $23: 7$ Herrings [1] - 15:15 | interagency $[1]$ - 7:10 intersection [1] - 3:7 | 5:11, 6:1, 12:14, 14:9 <br> $\operatorname{LEVY}_{[11]}-3: 1,4: 5,9: 25$, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hi}_{[2]}-14: 5,19: 1 \\ & \text { high }[2]-11: 24,17: 10 \\ & \text { higher }[8]-17: 6,17: 11, \\ & \text { 17:25, 18:12, 18:21, } 20: 8 \end{aligned}$ | introduce [1] - 3:21 invite [1] - 16:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:9, 12:22, 14:4, 16:12, } \\ & \text { 18:25, 20:10, 21:17, 22:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | involve [1] - 3:9 | Levy [2]-3:13, 15:9 |
|  |  | involved [2] - 16:23 <br> involvement[3]-7:17, 7:18 | $\text { lieu }[1]-8: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { forward }[1]-9: 6 \\ & \text { four }[3]-20: 6,20: 7,20: 20 \end{aligned}$ | Highway [2] - 4:24, 22:17 historic [1] - 7:25 | 7:24 | $\text { light }[1]-14: 1$ |
| free $[1]-10: 18$ frequently $[1]-17: 23$ | Hoc [2] - 10:4, 11:18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Island }[6]-3: 6,3: 7,10: 9, \\ 13: 4,13: 25,20: 14 \end{gathered}$ | likely ${ }_{[1]}-7: 14$ <br> limit [1] -9:16 |
| frequently $[1]-17: 23$full $[1]-18: 19$function $[2]-5: 20,6: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Honeymoon [3] - 3:6, 10:9, } \\ & 20: 14 \end{aligned}$ | island [2]-14:18, 15:1 | limitations [1]-14:11 |
|  | hopefully [1] - 10:7 <br> hoping [1] - 13:17 <br> horizontal [2]-5:17, 6:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { issue }[1]-21: 25 \\ & \text { Item }[1]-4: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { limits }[1]-3: 5 \\ & \text { line }[2]-14: 17,14: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | itself [2]-10:9, 13:5 | lines [1] - 20:25 |
| FWC [2] - 15:12, 15:16 | horrendous [1]-21:7 <br> hour [2]-17:14, 22:3 <br> hundred [1] - 22:2 <br> Hurricane [1]-13:11 | J | $\text { terally }{ }_{[1]}-16: 8$ |
| G |  | Jackie [6] - 2:6, 10:1, 10:3 | little-used [1] - 15:23 <br> live $[4]$ - 10:17, 19:1, 20:13, |
| Gary [2] - $3: 7,21: 3$ <br> Geddis [2]-12:22, 12:24 <br> GEDDIS [1] - 12:23 <br> Geddis. $\qquad$ [1] $-2: 8$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jennifer }{ }_{[1]}-3: 24 \\ & \text { jet }[1]-13: 21 \\ & \text { jewel }[1]-12: 9 \end{aligned}$ | ```21:19 lived [2] - 21:2, 21:3 lives [2]-20:19, 20:21``` |



| prohibits [1] - 13:5 | Red [1] - 15:22 | section [4]-4:22, 6:4, 9:1, | ndpoint [2] - 17:12, 18:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:8 | Reddish [5] - 14:21, 15:3, | 19:6 | start [3]-13:9, 13:12, 13:19 |
| Project [2]-3:3, 4:16 | 15:10, 15:13, 16:4 | Section [1]-7:8 | started [1] - 11:17 |
| project $[12]-3: 5,4: 11,5: 4$, | reduce [1]-7:3 | security [1]-17:18 | State [4]-1:23, 4:7, 12:15, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 7,5: 9,7: 3,7: 6,7: 20,8: 3, \\ & 8: 8,20: 5,22: 23 \end{aligned}$ | refer [1]-16:21 <br> reference $[1]$ - 22:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { see }[3]-18: 13,21: 5,21: 14 \\ & \text { sees }[1]-16: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 6 \\ & \text { STATE }_{[1]}-23: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| proper [1] - 13:3 | regard [1] - 4:13 | Segment ${ }_{[1]}-4: 18$ | state [5]-3:19, 7:15, 9:7, |
| property [2]-8:7, 16:21 | regarding [2]-8:15, 10:14 | sense [1]-22:7 | 9:12, 13:4 |
| propose [1] - 20:6 | regional [1] - 15:11 | served [1]-11:7 | statement [6]-8:14, 16:13, |
| proposed [13] - 3:8, 5:8, | regulatory [1] - 7:5 | Service [2]-7:11, 7:12 | 20:11, 20:23, 21:18, 22:13 |
| 5:13, 5:19, 5:22, 5:23, | related [3]-5:4, 6:18, 9:19 | session [1]-9:21 | statements [4]-8:24, 8:25, |
| 5:25, 6:8, 6:9, 8:1, 8:17, | Relief [3]-3:11, 5:25, 6:5 | seven [2]-11:17, 12:18 | 9:1, 22:14 |
| 14:9, 15:12 | religion [1] - 4:1 | seven-year [1]-12:18 | States [2]-4:22, 7:7 |
| protect [1] - 16:10 | relocation [1]-8:5 | several [2] - 10:22, 22:2 | statistics [1] - 17:22 |
| protected [3] - 6:25, 7:15, | relocations [1]-8:7 | sex [1] - 4:14 | status [1]-4:15 |
| 16:1 | replaced [1]-5:25 | shall [1]-17:15 | Statutes [1]-7:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { protection }_{[1]}-7: 2 \\ & \text { provide }_{[3]}-5: 2,5: 13,9: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { replacement }[5]-3: 9,3: 11, \\ 5: 10,10: 5,10: 14 \end{gathered}$ | shore ${ }_{[2]}-14: 17,14: 23$ shoulders [2] - 5:20, 6:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stenographic [3] - 1:23, } \\ & 23: 5,23: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| provided [2] - 4:11, 5:16 | REPORTED [1] - 1:22 | shown [1] -4:7 | Stenotypy [1] - 23:8 |
| provides [1]-6:1 | reporter [1]-9:20 | side [6] - 5:22, 5:23, $6:$ | Stewart [6]-3:6, 10:8, 19:3, |
| public [6]-2:17, 4:12, 9:4, | Reporter [3]-1:23, 23:6, | 6:10, 17:16, 17:1 | 21:10, 21:20 |
| 9:9, 15:23, 22:16 | 23:18 | sidewalk [2]-5:21, 6:8 | still [1] - 19:8 |
| Public [13]-1:23, 3:2, 3:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reporter.......................... }{ }^{[1]} \\ & -2: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sign}_{[4]}-4: 8,4: 12,8: 11 \\ & 8: 13 \end{aligned}$ | stop [1] - 8:12 |
| 4:2, 4:5, 4:16, 5:2, 9:1, |  |  | studies [1]-21:24 |
| 9:14, 22:14, 22:16, 22:20, | reporters [1]-2:18 <br> represent [1]-9:8 <br> requirements [2]-4:7, 7:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sign-in }[3]-4: 8,4: 12,8: 13 \\ & \text { signed }[1]-4: 24 \end{aligned}$ | Study [6] - 3:3, 4:19, 9:14, |
| 23:6 |  |  | 9:17, 9:19, 22:2 |
| purpose [1]-21:6 |  | significantly [1] - 17:11 | STUDY [1] - 1:8 |
| pursuant [1] - 4:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requirements }[2]-4: 7,7: 6 \\ & \text { requires }{ }_{[1]}-13: 6 \end{aligned}$ | single ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:23 | Study's [1] - 9:4 |
| put [2] - 14:12, 21:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Resident }[1]-10: 4 \\ & \text { resident }[4]-10: 7,12: 24, \\ & \text { 14:24, 19:4 } \end{aligned}$ | site ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:19 <br> sites [2] - 6:18, 7:25 | sub [2]-4:22, 14:22 |
| Q |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sitting }[1]-21: 23 \\ & \text { six }[5]-10: 6,10: 20,11: 1, \end{aligned}$ | subsequent $[1]-8: 5$ |
| quality $[1]-7: 21$ | 14:24, 19:4 <br> residents [3]-11:2, 11:20 |  | substantially $[1]-20: 8$ sucked [1]-12:1 |
| QUINTOS [1] - 4:2 | Resources [1] - 7:10 | skis [1] - 13:21 <br> social [3]-5:8, 7:21, 8:16 | summertime [1] - 13:14 |
|  | resources [1]-7:25 <br> responsible [1]-17:18 <br> result $[3]-6: 12,6: 13,8: 1$ |  | Suncoast [1]-19:16 |
| R |  | Society [1] - 14:7 <br> solicited [1] - 4:13 | SunPass [2]-19:16, 19:17 <br> supported [1] - 12:13 |
| race [1] - 4:14 | risks [1]-6:19 <br> River [1] - 13:17 | Solitary [1] - 15:21 | supporting [1] - 5:4 |
| raise [1] - 8:22 | Road [1] - 19:22 | solution [1]-12:6 | supportive ${ }_{[2]}$ - 10:11, 10:25 |
| raised [1]-16:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Royal }[7]-3: 5,10: 8,19: 2, \\ & 21: 9,21: 10,21: 20 \end{aligned}$ | someone [3]-10:12, 19:13, | surgery $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$ - 15:8 |
| rarest [1]-14:20 |  | $20: 1$ | surrounding $[1]$ - 7:22 |
| rated [1] - 6:20 | $\text { run }_{[1]}-13: 20$ |  | sustainability [2] - 17:10, |
| real [1]-21:14 realize [1] - 14:11 | running [1]-18:7 | south [2]-5:23, 6:10 <br> span [1]-6:3 | 18:5 |
| really [5] - 11:3, 12:5, 12:8, | S | speaker [1]-9:22 | T |
| reason [2]-14:24, 17:21 |  | speaker's $[3]-8: 20,8: 22$, $9: 6$ | table [3] - 4:8, 4:12, 8:13 <br> Tallahassee [1] -5:1 |
| receive ${ }_{[1]}-8: 12$ <br> received [4]-4:11, 8:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { safety [3] - 17:10, 17:11, } \\ & \text { 17:18 } \end{aligned}$ | Speakers [1] - 2:5 | Task [1] - 16:24 |
| 8:21, 9:1 | sailboater ${ }_{[1]}-18: 15$ salt ${ }_{[1]}-14: 16$ | speaking [2]-9:24, 16:15 <br> Species [1] - 15:17 | team [1] - 5:7 |
| recently [2]-15:8, 15:21 <br> recognize [1]-3:18 | salt [1] - 14:16 <br> sanctuaries [1]-14:18 | $\text { species }[7]-7: 2,7: 15 \text {, }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { temporary }[3]-6: 17,6: 24, \\ & 20: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| recommendation [1]-12:13 | Sandpiper [1]-15:21 | 15:14, 15:16, 15:21, 15:23, | term [1] - 12:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { record }[5]-3: 21,9: 4,9: 8, \\ & 9: 15,16: 7 \end{aligned}$ | satisfies [1]-7:6 | specific $[1]-15: 4$ spending $[1]-22: 8$ | Terri [2]-2:13, 21:2 theme [1]-4:1 |
| recorded [1] - 23:8 | $\text { seagrass }[2]-6: 18,18: 10$ | spoken [1]-11:6 | thereof [1] - 23:10 <br> thinking [2] - 21 : $5,21 \cdot 23$ |
| recordings [1]-23:10 <br> recreation [2] - 12:1, 13:21 | seagrasses [1]-6:23 | staff $[5]-8: 21,10: 23,11: 5$, | threatened [2] - 15:15, 16:6 |
| recreational [3] - 5:6, 7:23, 8:18 | seal [1]-23:12 <br> seconds [1]-15:17 | stand [1] - 3:20 | three [2]-9:17, 22:18 <br> throw [1]-13:25 |


| ```tidal [5] - 6:23, 13:3, 13:6, 13:23, 15:5 Tidal [1] - 13:1 Tide[3] - 3:11, 5:25, 6:4``` | Utilities [1] - 4:3 utility ${ }_{[1]}$ - 7:21 utilize [1] - 15:23 | ```12:7, 16:9, 18:6, 20:6, 20:7, 20:20, 20:22, 21:4, 21:8 yourself [1] - 3:21``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME ${ }_{[1]}-1: 14$ | V |  |
| today [3]-3:14, 11:13, 11:19 <br> Tom [3]-2:11, 18:25, 19:1 <br> tonight [3] - 3:20, 5:5, 16:16 <br> topic [1] - 17:10 <br> Torriga ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:14 <br> TORRIGA [1] - 16:15 <br> Torriga...................... [1] - <br> 2:10 <br> totally ${ }_{[1]}$ - $21: 25$ <br> traffic [11]-19:5, 19:7, <br> 19:13, 19:24, 20:15, 20:24, <br> 21:6, 21:7, 21:13, 21:22, | ```value \({ }_{[1]}\) - 20:7 various [1]-5:7 vehicular [2]-19:7, 19:24 verbal [1]-8:25 vertical \([3]-5: 14,5: 15,6: 2\) vice \({ }_{[1]}\) - 14:6 vice-president [1] - 14:6 view [1]-22:22 views [3]-8:15, 9:12, 12:2 visual \({ }_{[1]}-6: 13\) voice [1]-11:20``` |  |
| $\text { trail }[2]-5: 23,6: 9$ | W |  |
| transcript ${ }_{[1]}$-22:14 <br> Transcription [1]-2:24 <br> transcription [1]-23:9 <br> transportation [1] - 8:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { wading }{ }_{[1]}-14: 20 \\ & \text { waiting }[1]-18: 10 \\ & \text { walkers }_{[1]}-19: 10 \\ & \text { WARD }_{[2]}-3: 22,11: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ```Transportation[1] - 4:17 travel [2] - 5:19, 6:6 tremendous [1] - 17:24 tried [1] - 12:5``` | ```Ward [5] - 2:7, 3:7, 3:22, 11:9, 11:10 watch [1] - 18:5 watched [1]-21:7``` |  |
| true [1]-23:9 | Waterfront [1] - 16:24 |  |
| trying [2] - 19:14, 22:3 <br> turn [3] - 14:9, 14:12, 15:19 <br> turned [1] - 9:6 | Wednesday [2] - 1:13, 3:14 weekend [3]-10:18, 13:14, 13:21 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { two }[10]-3: 8,3: 10,3: 12, \\ 5: 10,5: 11,14: 22,16: 9 \\ 18: 4,19: 16,19: 18 \end{gathered}$ | weekends [2]-13:22, 19:14 <br> weeks [1]-22:18 <br> welcome [1]-3:2 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { two-lane }[4]-3: 10,3: 12, \\ & 5: 10,5: 11 \end{aligned}$ | welcomed [1] - 10:13 <br> west [2]-3:5, 14:13 |  |
| two-year-old [1] - 14:22 <br> typical [2]-6:4, 19:6 | wetland [2]-6:16, 6:17 <br> whole [1] - 10:25 |  |
| U |  |  |
| ```U.S [1] - 7:11 unanimously \({ }_{[1]}-12: 13\) unavoidable [1] - 8:2 under [1]-18:11 underneath [1] - 18:17 undesignated [2] - 5:20, 6:7 United [2]-4:22, 7:7 unlimited [1]-5:15 Unverferth [2] - 18:25, 19:1 UNVERFERTH [1] - 19:1 Unverferth................... [1] - 2:11 up [15] - 11:7, 11:14, 11:18, 12:1, 12:5, 12:24, 16:5, 18:18, 18:20, 19:22, 21:9, 21:21, 22:1, 22:3, 22:8 ups [1] - 19:21 upteen [1]-20:22 US [1] - 22:17``` | Wildlife [2] - 7:11, 7:12 <br> wind ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:14 <br> wish [5] - 8:22, 12:19, 16:13, <br> 21:17, 22:12 <br> wishes [1]-20:10 <br> WITNESS [1] - 23:12 <br> wonderful [1]-11:23 <br> Works [3]-3:14, 4:2, 22:16 <br> wow [1] - 15:1 <br> written [5]-8:15, 8:24, 9:1, <br> 9:23, 22:14 |  |

