

Justice Assistance Grant- Countywide Review Form

Organization Name:

Priority Area:

Program Name:

Each section will be scored by consensus from the committee during the review meeting.

EXEMPLARY 100-80% of points	ADEQUATE 79-60%	MARGINAL 59-20%	MISSING/ INCOMPLETE 19%- 0%
Stated with concise, high-quality explanation	Stated with adequate explanation	Stated with vague or no explanation	Did not address

Problem Identification (20 points)

- 1) Hazards, risks, or concerns the funding will address are clearly identified and accurate.
- 2) Project is described as a priority for the agency, county, and/or state.
- 3) Existing resources or actions being used to address the problem(s) are identified and considered. New problems are clearly identified in addition to the plan to address the issue.
- 4) The project aligns with the selected program area.

Notes:

Federal/State Priorities (25 points)

- 1) Application clearly identifies alignment with a federal or state priority area.
- 2) Application clearly describes how the project will address the identified priority area.
- 3) Specific data or research is used to support assessment.
- 4) Application demonstrates that other funding is not available to support the services or that additional capacity is critical and appropriate for funding amount.
- 5) Proposed program coordinates with existing programs to avoid duplication and promote efficiency and service connection.

Notes:

Scope of Services (25 points)

- 1) Services and major tasks and activities to be delivered are clearly identified.
- 2) Proposal describes the expected outcome(s) of the tasks and activities and outcomes are appropriate for the proposed project.
- 3) Beneficiary population is clearly identified.
- 4) Geographic service area is identified and appropriate for the proposed programming.
- 5) Performance and completion verification metrics/units are appropriate for the project.

Notes:

<p>Program Outcomes and Goals (15 points)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Proposed outcomes are appropriate to demonstrate program success. 2) Qualitative and/or quantitative indicators are identified and appropriate to measure the identified outcomes. 3) Measurement intervals are appropriate for program duration. 	Notes:
<p>Budget and Expenditure Report (15 points)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Budget and operational narrative clearly defines line-item cost, salary, and/or unit cost as required. Sufficient details show proposed costs are reasonable and allocable for the program. 2) Proposed budget demonstrates applicant's ability to provide services within the allocated funding. 3) A plan for program sustainability has been identified and appears feasible. 	Notes:
TOTAL SCORE	
Reviewer Name:	
Date:	